

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-24 and 26-33 are pending in the present application. It is respectfully requested that the Examiner reconsider the rejection of the claims in view of the following remarks.

It is gratefully acknowledged that claims 1-22, 24, and 26-32 are allowed. But claims 23 and 33 are rejected. Applicants have cancelled claims 23 and 33 in the present Supplemental Amendment.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. *See* page 2, item 1 of the Office Action dated August 26, 2010. The present Amendment cancels claim 23, and renders moot this rejection.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not being enabled by the specification. *See* pages 3-4, item 3 of the Office Action. . The present Amendment cancels claim 23, and renders moot this rejection.

Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as not being enabled by the specification. *See* page 4, last paragraph of the Office Action. . The present Amendment cancels claim 33, and renders moot this rejection..

Based on the foregoing, favorable consideration and a Notice of Allowance are earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner disagree, Applicant respectfully requests a telephonic interview with the undersigned attorney to discuss any remaining issues and to expedite the eventual allowance of the claims.

If any other fees or charges are required at this time, they may be charged to our Patent and Trademark Office Deposit Account No. 03-2412.

Respectfully submitted,
COHEN PONTANI LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP

By /Kent H. Cheng/
Kent H. Cheng
Reg. No. 33,849
551 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1210
New York, New York 10176
(212) 687-2770

Dated: November 9, 2010